

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
CHAPTER 1 – INTRODUCTION	1-1
1.1 Introduction	1-1
CHAPTER 2 – METHODOLOGY AND COORDINATION	2-1
2.1 Introduction.....	2-1
2.2 Important Terminology	2-1
2.3 Regulatory Framework	2-3
2.3.1 Federal Statutes and Guidance	2-3
2.4 Eight-Step Process for ICI Evaluation	2-7
2.5 Data Collection and Methodology	2-8
2.5.1 Development of the ICI Study Area	2-8
2.5.2 Identifying Study Area Trends, Goals, and Notable Features	2-9
2.5.3 Identifying Impact Causing Activities	2-14
2.5.4 Identify Effects for Detailed Analysis	2-24
2.6 Agency Consultation and Coordination	2-26
CHAPTER 3 – EXISTING CONDITIONS	3-1
3.1 Introduction	3-1
3.2 Study Area for ICI Assessment	3-1
3.2.1 Introduction	3-1
3.2.2 Project Area	3-1
3.2.3 Regional Area of Influence	3-2
3.2.4 Local Areas of Influence	3-17
3.2.5 Timeframe Considerations	3-17
3.3 Study Area Trends and Goals.....	3-18
3.3.1 Introduction	3-18
3.3.2 Population and Households.....	3-18
3.3.3 Migration	3-27
3.3.4 Employment and the Economy.....	3-34
3.3.5 Journey to Work	3-44
3.3.6 Average Daily Traffic and VMT.....	3-46
3.3.7 Property Value and Housing Costs	3-47
3.3.8 Fiscal Conditions	3-51
3.3.9 Agriculture	3-52
3.3.10 Retail Sales	3-58
3.3.11 Land Use and Regional Goals.....	3-59
3.4 Notable Features.....	3-67
3.4.1 Introduction	3-67
3.4.2 Agricultural Lands.....	3-68
3.4.3 Community Facilities, Open Space and Protected Lands	3-69
3.4.4 Wildlife Habitat.....	3-69
3.4.5 Water Resources	3-71
3.4.6 Wetlands.....	3-73
3.4.7 Air Quality	3-73
3.4.8 Cultural Resources	3-74
3.4.9 Visual Resources.....	3-79

CHAPTER 4 – IMPACTS AND MITIGATION.....	4-1
4.1 Introduction	4-1
4.2 Identification of Impact Causing Activities and Effect for Analysis (Indirect).....	4-1
4.2.1 Influence of Transportation on Development.....	4-1
4.2.2 Indirect Effects for Detailed Analysis	4-7
4.3 Identification of Impact Causing Activities and Effect for Analysis (Cumulative).....	4-8
4.3.1 Cumulative Impacts for Detailed Analysis	4-10
4.4 No Build Alternative.....	4-10
4.4.1 County Level Population, Household, and Employment Forecast.....	4-10
4.4.2 Local Level Distribution of Households and Employment.....	4-12
4.4.3 Land Use and Development Patterns.....	4-16
4.4.4 Development Related Effects on Environmental Resources	4-22
4.5 Indirect Effects and Cumulative Impacts of the Build Alternatives	4-32
4.5.1 County Level Population, Household, and Employment Forecast.....	4-32
4.5.2 Summary of Household and Employment Change in Chittenden County, Build Alternatives	4-46
4.5.3 Effect of the Build Alternatives on Land Use and Related Indicators	4-48
4.5.4 Indirect Effects of the Build Alternatives on Environmental Resources at a Regional Level.....	4-50
4.5.5 Land Use Change and IECIs – Local Areas with Greater Growth in Households.....	4-57
4.5.6 Land Use Change and IECIs – Areas with Lesser Growth in Households and Employment.....	4-189
4.5.7 Evaluation Land Use Change at Interchanges and Roadway Corridors	4-189
4.6 Cumulative Impacts.....	4-195

CHAPTER 5 – REFERENCES	5-1
-------------------------------------	------------

APPENDICES

Appendix A	Methodology for Developing Baseline County-Level Population, Household and Employment Projections for Use in Transportation and Land Use Modeling
Appendix B	Land Use Inputs to the Chittenden County Transportation Model: Update to the ALU File and Development of the PER File
Appendix C	Methodology for Regional Accessibility Analysis Using the Statewide Transportation Demand Model
Appendix D	Methodology for Evaluation of TAZ-Level ICIs
Appendix E	Methodology for Evaluation of Interchange Area Development Potential
Appendix F	Environmental Features Maps for the Towns of Cambridge, Fairfax, Fletcher, Morristown, Stowe, Berlin and the City of Montpelier
Appendix G	Regional Travel Time Contour Maps of Alternatives 16a, 17 and 23 compared to the No Build Alternative
Appendix H	Maps of Chittenden County Absolute Change in Households and Employment between Build Alternatives and the No Build Alternative

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table 2-1 Distinctions Between Types of Effects.....	2-2
Table 3-1 Chittenden County Employment and Population Core, 2000	3-4
Table 3-2 Change in Average Assessed Property Value and Parcels, 1995-1999.....	3-11
Table 3-3 Population in Northwest Vermont by Town, 1950 to 2000.....	3-20
Table 3-4 Summary of Population and Household Change, 1990-2000.....	3-22
Table 3-5 Population by Race and Ethnicity, 1990-2000	3-22
Table 3-6 Population by Race and Ethnicity, 1990-2000	3-23
Table 3-7 Households by Household Income, 1990-2000	3-25
Table 3-8 Summary of Housing Units by Structure Type, 1990-2000.....	3-25
Table 3-9 Summary of Housing Units by Occupancy and Tenure, 1990-2000.....	3-26
Table 3-10 Cumulative Estimates of the Components of Population Change: April 1, 2000 to July 1, 2005	3-31
Table 3-11 Migration Patterns of Chittenden County Tax Filers and Qualifying Dependent Exemptions, Tax Years 1993-1994 to 2002-2003	3-32
Table 3-12 Top 15 Employers in Northwest Vermont	3-38
Table 3-13 Highest Growth Industry Sectors, 1970-2000	3-40
Table 3-14 Industry Earnings, Shares, Concentration, and Growth, 1970-2000	3-42
Table 3-15 Journey-to-Work, Chittenden County, 1990-2000	3-45
Table 3-16 Journey-to-Work, Other NW Vermont Counties, 1990-2000	3-45
Table 3-17 Households by Vehicles Available, 1990-2000.....	3-46
Table 3-18 Change in Average Assessed Property Value and Parcels, 1995-1999.....	3-47
Table 3-19 Farm vs. Non-Farm Earnings, 1970-2000	3-57
Table 3-20 Average Household Spending on Selected Goods and Services, 2005.....	3-58
Table 3-21 Estimated Retail Supply and Demand by Subsector Northwest Vermont, 2005 ...	3-59
Table 3-22 Estimated Retail Supply and Demand by Subsector Northwest Vermont, 2005 ...	3-59
Table 3-23 Chittenden County Regional Plan Natural Resources Policies.....	3-64
Table 3-24 Chittenden County Regional Plan Infrastructure Policies	3-65
Table 3-25 Chittenden County Regional Plan Community Facilities Policies	3-66
Table 3-26 Chittenden County Municipalities Projected to Exceed Existing Sewage Treatment Reserve Capacity	3-66
Table 3-27 Notable Features Addressed by Federal Statutes	3-68
Table 3-28 Threatened and Endangered Plant Species Associated with the Pine-Oak-Heath Sandplain Forest Community	3-70

Table 4-1	Induced Growth Related Effects for Detailed Analysis	4-7
Table 4-2	Summary of Potential ICI Impacts and Evaluation Measures Identified in ICI Public Involvement Workshop	4-9
Table 4-3	Estimated Total Employment by County, 2000- 2030 Northwest Vermont.....	4-11
Table 4-4	Estimated Population by County, 2000-2030, Northwest Vermont	4-11
Table 4-5	Estimated Number of Households by County, 2000-2030, Northwest Vermont	4-11
Table 4-6	LUAM Allocation of Households: Town Level Summary 2000-2030	4-12
Table 4-7	LUAM Allocation of Households: CCRPC 2006 Planning Areas Summary 2000-2030.....	4-12
Table 4-8	LUAM Allocation of Employment: Town Level Summary 2000-2030	4-13
Table 4-9	LUAM Allocation of Employment: CCRPC 2006 Planning Areas Summary 2000-2030.....	4-13
Table 4-10	Allocation of Households and Employment to Towns Based on Statewide Model, 2000-2030	4-14
Table 4-11	Approximate Acreage to be Occupied by Household and Employment Growth, Chittenden County, 2000-2030.....	4-17
Table 4-12	Total Housing Units and Employment vs. Estimated Capacity, Chittenden County 2030 by Town	4-18
Table 4-13	Approximate Acreage to be Occupied by Household and Employment Growth, Other Northwest Vermont Counties, 2000-2030	4-19
Table 4-14	Forest Area Potentially Affected by Households and Employment Growth, Chittenden County by Town, 2000-2030	4-23
Table 4-15	Core Habitat Area Potentially Affected by Households and Employment Growth, Chittenden County by Town, 2000-2030	4-26
Table 4-16	Deer Wintering Area Potentially Affected by Households and Employment Growth, Chittenden County by Town, 2000-2030	4-26
Table 4-17	Rare, Threatened, and Endangered Species Habitat Area Potentially Affected by Households and Employment Growth, Chittenden County, 2000-2030	4-27
Table 4-18	Estimated Increase in Impervious Cover due to Household and Employment Growth, Chittenden County, 2000-2030	4-28
Table 4-19	Estimated Increase in Impervious Cover due to Household and Employment Growth, Other Northwest Vermont Counties, 2000-2030	4-29
Table 4-20	Forest Area Potentially Affected by Households and Employment Growth, Chittenden County by Town, 2000-2030	4-31
Table 4-21	Change in Share of Statewide Accessibility to Employment – Build Alternatives Compared to No-Build, 2030	4-33
Table 4-22	Change in Households Based on Accessibility Change – Build Alternatives Compared to No-Build, 2030	4-33
Table 4-23	Change in Employment Based on Accessibility Change – Build Alternatives Compared to No-Build, 2030	4-34
Table 4-24	Towns Outside Chittenden with Largest Change in Household Growth – Build Alternatives to No-Build, 2030	4-34
Table 4-25	Towns Outside Chittenden with Largest Change in Employment Growth – Build Alternatives to No-Build, 2030	4-35
Table 4-26	Cambridge Environmental Features.....	4-36
Table 4-27	Cambridge Household Growth Impacts on Water and Wastewater	4-36
Table 4-28	Fairfax Environmental Features	4-37
Table 4-29	Fairfax Impacts from Household Growth on Water and Wastewater	4-38
Table 4-30	Fletcher Environmental Features	4-39

Table 4-31 Fletcher Impacts from Household Growth on Water and Wastewater 4-39

Table 4-32 Morristown Environmental Features..... 4-40

Table 4-33 Morristown Impacts from Household Growth on Water and Wastewater 4-40

Table 4-34 Stowe Environmental Features 4-41

Table 4-35 Stowe Impacts from Household Growth on Water and Wastewater 4-42

Table 4-36 Berlin Environmental Features 4-43

Table 4-37 Berlin Impacts from Household Growth on Water and Wastewater 4-43

Table 4-38 Montpelier Environmental Features..... 4-44

Table 4-39 Montpelier Impacts from Household Growth on Water and Wastewater..... 4-44

Table 4-40 LUAM Allocation of Households: Build Alternatives
Relative to No Build, 2030, Towns 4-47

Table 4-41 LUAM Allocation of Households: Build Alternatives Relative to
No Build, 2030, CCRPC 2006 Planning Areas..... 4-47

Table 4-42 LUAM Allocation of Employment: Build Alternatives
Relative to No Build, 2030, Towns 4-48

Table 4-43 LUAM Allocation of Employment: Build Alternatives Relative to
No Build, 2030, CCRPC 2006 Planning Areas..... 4-48

Table 4-44 Change in Impervious Surface Cover, Chittenden County, Build Alternatives
Compared to No Build, 2000-2030 4-52

Table 4-45 Change in Water Consumption and Wastewater Generation, Chittenden County,
Build Alternatives Compared to No Build, 2000-2030 4-53

Table 4-46 Percentage Change in Traffic, Build Alternative Conditions Compared to the No
Build, Village Locations, AM Peak, 2030 4-56

Table 4-47 Percentage Change in Traffic, Build Alternative Conditions Compared to the No
Build, Village Locations, PM Peak, 2030..... 4-56

Table 4-48 TAZ 174: Key Land Use Indicators, No Build Alternative & Build-out Capacity .. 4-58

Table 4-49 TAZ 174: Comparison of 2000 and 2030 Households, No Build Alternative 4-58

Table 4-50 TAZ 174: Water and Waste Disposal Infrastructure 4-58

Table 4-51 TAZ 174: CCRPC 2006 Planning Areas..... 4-59

Table 4-52 TAZ 174: Zoning..... 4-59

Table 4-53 TAZ 174: Environmental Features..... 4-60

Table 4-54 TAZ 174: Impacts from Household Growth – Build
Alternative Impacts compared to No-Build Alternative 4-61

Table 4-55 TAZ 174: Estimated Impervious Surface, Household
Growth, Build and No-Build Alternatives 4-61

Table 4-56 TAZ 174: Water and Wastewater Impacts 4-62

Table 4-57 TAZ 165: Key Land Use Indicators, No Build Alternative
and Build-out Capacity 4-63

Table 4-58 TAZ 165: Comparison of 2000 and 2030 Households, No Build Alternative 4-63

Table 4-59 TAZ 165: Water and Wastewater Impacts 4-63

Table 4-60 TAZ 165: CCRPC 2006 Planning Areas..... 4-64

Table 4-61 TAZ 165: Zoning..... 4-64

Table 4-62 TAZ 165: Environmental Features..... 4-65

Table 4-63 TAZ 165: Impacts from Household Growth – Build
Alternative Impacts compared to No Build Alternative 4-66

Table 4-64 TAZ 165: Estimated Impervious Surface,
Household Growth, Build and No Build Alternatives 4-66

Table 4-65 TAZ 165: Household Growth Impacts in Water and Wastewater 4-67

Table 4-66 TAZ 194: Key Land Use Indicators, No-Build
Alternative and Build-out Capacity 4-68

Table 4-67 TAZ 194: Comparison of 2000 and 2030 Households, No Build Alternative4-68

Table 4-68 TAZ 194: Water and Waste Disposal Infrastructure4-69

Table 4-69 TAZ 194: CCRPC 2006 Planning Areas.....4-69

Table 4-70 TAZ 194: Zoning.....4-69

Table 4-71 TAZ 194: Environmental Features4-70

Table 4-72 TAZ 194: Impacts from Household Growth – Build Alternative Impacts compared to No-Build Alternative4-71

Table 4-73 TAZ 194: Estimated Impervious Surface, Household Growth, Build and No Build Alternatives.....4-71

Table 4-74 TAZ 194: Household Growth Impacts on Waste and Wastewater4-72

Table 4-75 TAZ 175: Key Land Use Indicators, No Build Alternative and Build-out Capacity4-73

Table 4-76 TAZ 175: Comparison of 2000 and 2300 Households, No Build Alternative4-73

Table 4-77 TAZ 175: Water and Waste Disposal Infrastructure4-73

Table 4-78 TAZ 175: CCRPC 2006 Planning Areas.....4-74

Table 4-79 TAZ 175: Zoning.....4-74

Table 4-80 TAZ 175: Environmental Features.....4-74

Table 4-81 TAZ 175: Impacts from Household Growth – Build Alternative Impacts compared to No Build Alternative4-75

Table 4-82 TAZ 175: Estimated Impervious Surface, Household Growth, Build and No Build Alternatives4-76

Table 4-83 TAZ 175: Household Growth Impacts on Water and Wastewater.....4-76

Table 4-84 TAZ 200: Key Land Use Indicators, No Build Alternative and Build-out Capacity4-78

Table 4-85 TAZ 200: Comparison of 2000 and 2030 Households, No Build Alternative4-78

Table 4-86 TAZ 200: Water and Waste Disposal Infrastructure4-78

Table 4-87 TAZ 200: CCRPC 2006 Planning Areas.....4-79

Table 4-88 TAZ 200: Zoning.....4-79

Table 4-89 TAZ 200: Environmental Factors.....4-80

Table 4-90 TAZ 200: Impacts from Household Growth – Build Alternative Impacts compared to No Build Alternative4-81

Table 4-91 TAZ200: Estimated Impervious Surface, Household Growth, Build and No Build Alternatives4-81

Table 4-92 TAZ 200: Household Growth Impacts on Water and Wastewater4-82

Table 4-93 TAZ 168: Key Land Use Indicators, No Build Alternative and Build-out Capacity4-83

Table 4-94 TAZ 168: Comparison of 2000 and 2030 Households, No Build Alternative.....4-83

Table 4-95 TAZ 168: Water and Waste Disposal Infrastructure4-83

Table 4-96 TAZ 168: CCRPC 2006 Planning Areas.....4-84

Table 4-97 TAZ 168: Zoning.....4-84

Table 4-98 TAZ 168: Environmental Features.....4-85

Table 4-99 TAZ 168: Impacts from Household Growth – Build Alternative Impacts compared to No Build Alternative4-86

Table 4-100 TAZ 168: Estimated Impervious Surface, Household Growth, Build and No Build Alternatives4-86

Table 4-101 TAZ 168: Household Growth Impacts on Water and Wastewater4-87

Table 4-102 TAZ 258: Key Land Use Indicators, No Build Alternative and Build-out Capacity4-88

Table 4-103 TAZ 258: Comparison of 2000 and 2030 Households, No Build Alternative.....4-88

Table 4-104 TAZ 258: Water and Waste Disposal Infrastructure4-88

Table 4-105 TAZ 258: CCRPC 2006 Planning Areas.....4-89

Table 4-106 TAZ 258: Zoning.....4-89

Table 4-107 TAZ 258: Environmental Features.....4-89

Table 4-108 TAZ 258: Impacts from Household Growth - Build Alternative Impacts compared to No Build Alternative4-90

Table 4-109 TAZ 258: Estimated Impervious Surface, Household Growth, Build and No Build Alternatives4-91

Table 4-110 TAZ 258: Household Growth Impacts on Water and Wastewater4-91

Table 4-111 TAZ 210: Key Land Use Indicators, No Build Alternative and Build-out Capacity4-93

Table 4-112 TAZ 210: Comparison of 2000 and 2030 Households, No Build Alternative.....4-93

Table 4-113 TAZ 210: Water and Waste Disposal Infrastructure4-93

Table 4-114 TAZ 210: CCRPC 2006 Planning Areas.....4-94

Table 4-115 TAZ 210: Zoning4-94

Table 4-116 TAZ 210: Environmental Features4-95

Table 4-117 TAZ 210: Impacts from Household Growth - Build Alternative Impacts compared to No Build Alternative4-95

Table 4-118 TAZ 210: Estimated Impervious Surface, Household Growth, Build and No Build Alternatives4-96

Table 4-119 TAZ 268: Household Growth Impacts on Water and Wastewater4-97

Table 4-120 TAZ 268: Key Land Use Indicators, No Build Alternative and Build-out Capacity4-98

Table 4-121 TAZ 268: Comparison of 2000 and 2030 Households, No Build Alternative4-98

Table 4-122 TAZ 268: Water and Waste Disposal Infrastructure4-99

Table 4-123 TAZ 268: CCRPC 2006 Planning Areas4-99

Table 4-124 TAZ 268: Zoning4-99

Table 4-125 TAZ 268: Environmental Features4-100

Table 4-126 TAZ 268: Impacts from Household Growth - Build Alternative Impacts compared to No Build Alternative4-101

Table 4-127 TAZ 268: Estimated Impervious Surface, Household Growth, Build and No Build Alternatives4-101

Table 4-128 TAZ 268: Total Water and Wastewater Impacts4-102

Table 4-129 TAZ 208: Key Land Use Indicators, No Build Alternative and Build-out Capacity4-103

Table 4-130 TAZ 208: Comparison of 2000 and 2030 Households, No Build Alternative.....4-103

Table 4-131 TAZ 208: Water and Waste Disposal Infrastructure4-103

Table 4-132 TAZ 208: CCRPC 2006 Planning Areas4-104

Table 4-133 TAZ 208: Zoning4-104

Table 4-134 TAZ 208: Environmental Features4-104

Table 4-135 TAZ 208: Impacts from Household Growth - Build Alternative Impacts compared to No Build Alternative4-105

Table 4-136 TAZ 208: Estimated Impervious Surface, Household Growth, Build and No Build Alternatives4-106

Table 4-137 TAZ 208: Household Growth Impacts on Water and Wastewater4-106

Table 4-138 TAZ 192: Key Land Use Indicators, No Build Alternative and Build-out Capacity4-108

Table 4-139 TAZ 192: Comparison of 2000 and 2030 Households, No Build Alternative.....4-108

Table 4-140 TAZ 192: Water and Waste Disposal Infrastructure4-108

Table 4-141 TAZ 192: CCRPC 2006 Planning Areas4-109

Table 4-142 TAZ 192: Zoning4-109

Table 4-143 TAZ 192: Environmental Features4-109

Table 4-144 TAZ 192: Impacts from Household Growth - Build Alternative Impacts compared to No Build Alternative4-110

Table 4-145 TAZ 192: Estimated Impervious Surface, Household Growth, Build and No Build Alternatives4-111

Table 4-146 TAZ 192: Household Growth Impacts on Water and Wastewater4-111

Table 4-147 TAZ 189: Key Land Use Indicators, No Build Alternative and Build-out Capacity4-113

Table 4-148 TAZ 189: Comparison of 2000 and 2030 Households, No Build Alternative.....4-113

Table 4-149 TAZ 189: Water and Waste Disposal Infrastructure4-113

Table 4-150 TAZ 189: CCRPC 2006 Planning Areas4-114

Table 4-151 TAZ 189: Zoning4-114

Table 4-152 TAZ 189: Environmental Features4-114

Table 4-153 TAZ 189: Impacts from Household Growth - Build Alternative Impacts compared to No Build Alternative4-115

Table 4-154 TAZ 189: Estimated Impervious Surface, Household Growth, Build and No Build Alternatives4-116

Table 4-155 TAZ 189: Household Growth Impacts on Water and Wastewater4-116

Table 4-156 TAZ 173: Key Land Use Indicators, No Build Alternative and Build-out Capacity4-118

Table 4-157 TAZ 173: Comparison of 2000 and 2030 Households, No Build Alternative.....4-118

Table 4-158 TAZ 173: Water and Waste Disposal Infrastructure4-118

Table 4-159 TAZ 173: CCRPC 2006 Planning Areas4-119

Table 4-160 TAZ 173: Zoning4-119

Table 4-161 TAZ 173: Environmental Features4-119

Table 4-162 TAZ 173: Impacts from Household Growth - Build Alternative Impacts compared to No Build Alternative4-120

Table 4-163 TAZ 173: Estimated Impervious Surface, Household Growth, Build and No Build Alternatives4-121

Table 4-164 TAZ 173: Household Growth Impacts on Water and Wastewater4-121

Table 4-165 TAZ 284: Key Land Use Indicators, No Build Alternative and Build-out Capacity4-123

Table 4-166 TAZ 284: Comparison of 2000 and 2030 Households, No Build Alternative.....4-123

Table 4-167 TAZ 284: Water and Waste Disposal Infrastructure4-123

Table 4-168 TAZ 284: CCRPC 2006 Planning Areas4-124

Table 4-169 TAZ 284: Zoning4-124

Table 4-170 TAZ 284: Environmental Features4-125

Table 4-171 TAZ 284: Impacts from Household Growth - Build Alternative Impacts compared to No Build Alternative4-126

Table 4-172 TAZ 284: Estimated Impervious Surface, Household Growth, Build and No Build Alternatives4-126

Table 4-173 TAZ 284: Household Growth Impacts on Water and Wastewater4-127

Table 4-174 TAZ 257: Key Land Use Indicators, No Build Alternative and Build-out Capacity4-128

Table 4-175 TAZ 257: Comparison of 2000 and 2030 Households, No Build Alternative.....4-128

Table 4-176 TAZ 257: Water and Waste Disposal Infrastructure4-128

Table 4-177 TAZ 257: CCRPC 2006 Planning Areas4-129

Table 4-178 TAZ 257: Zoning4-129

Table 4-179 TAZ 257: Environmental Features4-129

Table 4-180 TAZ 257: Impacts from Household Growth - Build Alternative Impacts compared to No Build Alternative4-130

Table 4-181 TAZ 257: Estimated Impervious Surface, Household Growth, Build and No Build Alternatives4-131

Table 4-182 TAZ 257: Household Growth Impacts on Water and Wastewater4-131

Table 4-183 TAZ 203: Key Land Use Indicators, No Build Alternative and Build-out Capacity4-133

Table 4-184 TAZ 203: Comparison of 2000 and 2030 Households, No Build Alternative.....4-133

Table 4-185 TAZ 203: Water and Waste Disposal Infrastructure4-133

Table 4-186 TAZ 203: CCRPC 2006 Planning Areas4-134

Table 4-187 TAZ 203: Zoning4-134

Table 4-188 TAZ 203: Environmental Features4-134

Table 4-189 TAZ 203: Impacts from Household Growth - Build Alternative Impacts compared to No Build Alternative4-135

Table 4-190 TAZ 203: Estimated Impervious Surface, Household Growth, Build and No Build Alternatives4-136

Table 4-191 TAZ 203: Household Growth Impacts on Water and Wastewater4-136

Table 4-192 TAZ 197: Key Land Use Indicators, No Build Alternative and Build-out Capacity4-138

Table 4-193 TAZ 197: Comparison of 2000 and 1970 Households, No Build Alternative.....4-138

Table 4-194 TAZ 197: Water and Waste Disposal Infrastructure4-138

Table 4-195 TAZ 197: CCRPC 2006 Planning Areas4-139

Table 4-196 TAZ 197: Zoning4-139

Table 4-197 TAZ 197: Environmental Features4-139

Table 4-198 TAZ 197: Impacts from Household Growth - Build Alternative Impacts compared to No Build Alternative4-140

Table 4-199 TAZ 197: Estimated Impervious Surface, Household Growth, Build and No Build Alternatives4-141

Table 4-200 TAZ 197: Household Growth Impacts on Water and Wastewater4-141

Table 4-201 TAZ 171: Key Land Use Indicators, No Build Alternative and Build-out Capacity4-143

Table 4-202 TAZ 171: Comparison of 2000 and 2030 Households, No Build Alternative.....4-143

Table 4-203 TAZ 171: Water and Waste Disposal Infrastructure4-143

Table 4-204 TAZ 171: CCRPC 2006 Planning Areas4-144

Table 4-205 TAZ 171: Zoning4-144

Table 4-206 TAZ 171: Environmental Features4-144

Table 4-207 TAZ 171: Impacts from Household Growth - Build Alternative Impacts compared to No Build Alternative4-145

Table 4-208 TAZ 171: Estimated Impervious Surface, Household Growth, Build and No Build Alternatives4-146

Table 4-209 TAZ 171: Household Growth Impacts on Water and Wastewater4-146

Table 4-210 TAZ 217: Key Land Use Indicators, No Build Alternative
and Build-out Capacity4-148

Table 4-211 TAZ 217: Comparison of 2000 and 2030 Households, No Build
Alternative.....4-148

Table 4-212 TAZ 217: Water and Waste Disposal Infrastructure4-148

Table 4-213 TAZ 217: CCRPC 2006 Planning Areas4-149

Table 4-214 TAZ 217: Zoning4-149

Table 4-215 TAZ 217: Environmental Features4-150

Table 4-216 TAZ 217: Impacts from Household Growth - Build Alternative
Impacts compared to No Build Alternative4-150

Table 4-217 TAZ 217: Estimated Impervious Surface, Household Growth,
Build and No Build Alternatives4-151

Table 4-218 TAZ 217: Household Growth Impacts on Water and Wastewater4-152

Table 4-219 TAZ 172: Key Land Use Indicators, No Build Alternative
and Build-out Capacity4-153

Table 4-220 TAZ 172: Comparison of 2000 and 2030 Households, No Build
Alternative.....4-153

Table 4-221 TAZ 172: Water and Waste Disposal Infrastructure4-153

Table 4-222 TAZ 172: CCRPC 2006 Planning Areas4-154

Table 4-223 TAZ 172: Zoning4-154

Table 4-224 TAZ 172: Environmental Features4-154

Table 4-225 TAZ 172: Impacts from Household Growth - Build Alternative
Impacts compared to No Build Alternative4-155

Table 4-226 TAZ 172: Estimated Impervious Surface, Household Growth,
Build and No Build Alternatives4-156

Table 4-227 TAZ 172: Household Growth Impacts on Water and Wastewater4-156

Table 4-228 TAZ 201: Key Land Use Indicators, No Build Alternative
and Build-out Capacity4-158

Table 4-229 TAZ 201: Comparison of 2000 and 2030 Households, No Build
Alternative.....4-158

Table 4-230 TAZ 201: Water and Waste Disposal Infrastructure4-158

Table 4-231 TAZ 201: CCRPC 2006 Planning Areas4-159

Table 4-232 TAZ 201: Zoning4-159

Table 4-233 TAZ 201: Environmental Features4-159

Table 4-234 TAZ 201: Impacts from Household Growth - Build Alternative
Impacts compared to No Build Alternative4-160

Table 4-235 TAZ 201: Estimated Impervious Surface, Household Growth,
Build and No Build Alternatives4-161

Table 4-236 TAZ 201: Household Growth Impacts on Water and Wastewater4-161

Table 4-237 TAZ 213: Key Land Use Indicators, No Build Alternative
and Build-out Capacity4-164

Table 4-238 TAZ 213: Comparison of 2000 and 2030 Households, No Build
Alternative.....4-164

Table 4-239 TAZ 213: Water and Waste Disposal Infrastructure4-165

Table 4-240 TAZ 213: CCRPC 2006 Planning Areas4-165

Table 4-241 TAZ 213: Zoning4-165

Table 4-242 TAZ 213: Environmental Features4-166

Table 4-243 TAZ 213: Impacts from Household Growth - Build Alternative
Impacts compared to No Build Alternative4-167

Table 4-244 TAZ 213: Estimated Impervious Surface, Household Growth, Build and No Build Alternatives4-167

Table 4-245 TAZ 213: Employment Growth Impacts on Water and Wastewater4-168

Table 4-246 TAZ 254: Key Land Use Indicators, No Build Alternative and Build-out Capacity4-169

Table 4-247 TAZ 254: Comparison of 2000 and 2030 Households, No Build Alternative.....4-169

Table 4-248 TAZ 254: Water and Waste Disposal Infrastructure4-169

Table 4-249 TAZ 254: CCRPC 2006 Planning Areas4-10

Table 4-250 TAZ 254: Zoning4-170

Table 4-251 TAZ 254: Environmental Features4-170

Table 4-252 TAZ 254: Employment Growth Impacts - Build Alternative Impacts compared to No Build Alternative4-171

Table 4-253 TAZ 254: Estimated Impervious Surface, Household Growth, Build and No Build Alternatives4-172

Table 4-254 TAZ 166: Employment Growth Impacts on Water and Wastewater4-172

Table 4-255 TAZ 166: Key Land Use Indicators, No Build Alternative and Build-out Capacity4-174

Table 4-256 TAZ 166: Comparison of 2000 and 2030 Households, No Build Alternative.....4-174

Table 4-257 TAZ 166: Water and Waste Disposal Infrastructure4-174

Table 4-258 TAZ 166: CCRPC 2006 Planning Areas4-175

Table 4-259 TAZ 166: Zoning4-175

Table 4-260 TAZ 166: Environmental Features4-176

Table 4-261 TAZ 166: Impacts of Employment Growth - Build Alternative Impacts compared to No Build Alternative4-176

Table 4-262 TAZ 166: Estimated Impervious Surface, Household Growth, Build and No Build Alternatives4-177

Table 4-263 TAZ 166: Employment Growth Impacts on Water and Wastewater4-178

Table 4-264 TAZ 180: Key Land Use Indicators, No Build Alternative and Build-out Capacity4-179

Table 4-265 TAZ 180: Comparison of 2000 and 2030 Households, No Build Alternative.....4-179

Table 4-266 TAZ 180: Water and Waste Disposal Infrastructure4-179

Table 4-267 TAZ 180: CCRPC 2006 Planning Areas4-180

Table 4-268 TAZ 180: Zoning4-180

Table 4-269 TAZ 180: Environmental Features4-180

Table 4-270 TAZ 180: Impacts of Employment Growth - Build Alternative Impacts compared to No Build Alternative4-181

Table 4-271 TAZ 180: Estimated Impervious Surface, Household Growth, Build and No Build Alternatives4-182

Table 4-272 TAZ 180: Employment Growth Impacts on Water and Wastewater4-182

Table 4-273 TAZ 255: Key Land Use Indicators, No Build Alternative and Build-out Capacity4-184

Table 4-274 TAZ 255: Comparison of 2000 and 2030 Households, No Build Alternative.....4-184

Table 4-275 TAZ 255: Water and Waste Disposal Infrastructure4-184

Table 4-276 TAZ 255: CCRPC 2006 Planning Areas4-185

Table 4-277 TAZ 255: Zoning4-185

Table 4-278 TAZ 255: Environmental Features4-185

Table 4-279 TAZ 255: Impacts of Employment Growth - Build Alternative
Impacts compared to No Build Alternative4-186

Table 4-280 TAZ 255: Estimated Impervious Surface, Household Growth,
Build and No Build Alternatives4-187

Table 4-281 TAZ 255: Employment Growth Impacts on Water and Wastewater4-187

Table 4-282 Interchange/Roadway Segment Development Potential Matrix.....4-190

Table 4-283 VT 2A Localized Area of Influence Development Potential4-191

Table 4-284 Circ A/B Localized Areas of Influence Development Potential4-193

Table 4-285 Summary of No Build Alternative Anticipated Actions4-196

LIST OF FIGURES

- Figure 2-1: Cumulative Impacts Diagram
Figure 2-2: Decision Tree for Scoping Indirect and Cumulative Effects Requiring Detailed Analysis
Figure 2-3: LAUM Process
- Figure 3-1: Project Area
Figure 3-2: 2000 MSA Boundaries
Figure 3-3: Urbanized Area
Figure 3-4: 2006 Chittenden County Public Water Supply Service Area
Figure 3-5: Chittenden County Public Sewer Service Area
Figure 3-6: 2006 Chittenden County Regional Plan – Planning Areas
Figure 3-7: Average Travel Time (Peak/Off-Peak) to Employment Core
Figure 3-8: Percentage Commuting to Core, 2000
Figure 3-9: Vermont Watersheds - Lake Champlain Basin
Figure 3-10: Notable Habitat Areas in Northwest Vermont
Figure 3-11: Population, Northwest Vermont 1900-2000
Figure 3-12: Population by Age, Other NW Vermont Counties, 1990 and 2000
Figure 3-13: Population by Age, Chittenden County, 1990 and 2000
Figure 3-14: Percentage of Housing Units in 2000 by Year Built
Figure 3-15: Median Age of Housing Units, 2000
Figure 3-16: Components of Population Change in Chittenden County
Figure 3-17: Chittenden County Migration Flows of Tax Filers and Dependent Exemptions, 1993–94 to 2002–03
Figure 3-18: Chittenden County Migrant Flows With Other Vermont Counties, 2000–01 to 2002–03
Figure 3-19: Total Full and Part-Time Employment, 1970-2002
Figure 3-20: Resident Labor Force, 1990-2004
Figure 3-21: Annual Average Unemployment Rate 1990-2004
Figure 3-22: Spatial Distribution of Employment and Workforce, 2003
Figure 3-23: Employment by Industry, 1970-2000
Figure 3-24: Average Weekly Earnings by Industry Sector, 2003
Figure 3-25: Change in Average Assessed Value per Parcel, 1995-1999
Figure 3-26: Housing Value in Chittenden County, 1990-2000
Figure 3-27: Housing Value in Other NW Vermont Counties, 1990-2000
Figure 3-28: Monthly Rent in Chittenden County, 1990-2000
Figure 2-29: Monthly Rent in Other NW Vermont Counties, 1990-2000
Figure 3-30: Local Government Operating Expenditures Per Capita by Purpose
Figure 3-31: Local Government Revenue Per Capita by Source
Figure 3-32: Acres in Farm Use, 1987-2002
Figure 3-33: Number of Farms, 1987-2002
Figure 3-34: Number of Farms by Acreage, 1987-2002
Figure 3-35: Market Value of Products Sold per Farm, 1987-2002
Figure 3-36: Market Value of Farm Land and Buildings, 1987-2002
Figure 3-37: Farm Employment, 1970-2002
Figure 3-38: Proportion of Store Retail and Food/Drink Sales by Location, 2005
Figure 3-39: Generalized Land Use in Chittenden County, 1982-1997
Figure 3-40: 1973 Land Use
Figure 3-41: 1997 Land Use

- Figure 3-42: Primary Agricultural Soils
- Figure 3-43: Biophysical regions, biological hotspots and conserved lands
- Figure 3-44: Core Habitat, significant wetlands, and waterbodies
- Figure 3-45: Wildlife Habitat
- Figure 3-46: View of Camels Hump from Mt. Philo Road in the Town of Charlotte

- Figure 4-1: Stormwater Runoff and Density
- Figure 4-2: No-Build 2030 Housing Map
- Figure 4-3: No-Build 2030 Employment Map
- Figure 4-4: TAZ Location Overview
- Figure 4-5a: TAZ 174 Zoning Districts
- Figure 4-5b: TAZ 174 Environmental Features
- Figure 4-6a: TAZ 165 Zoning Districts
- Figure 4-6b: TAZ 165 Environmental Features
- Figure 4-7a: TAZ 194 Zoning Districts
- Figure 4-7b: TAZ 194 Environmental Features
- Figure 4-8a: TAZ 175 Zoning Districts
- Figure 4-8b: TAZ 175 Environmental Features
- Figure 4-9a: TAZ 200 Zoning Districts
- Figure 4-9b: TAZ 200 Environmental Features
- Figure 4-10a: TAZ 168 Zoning Districts
- Figure 4-10b: TAZ 168 Environmental Features
- Figure 4-11a: TAZ 258 Zoning Districts
- Figure 4-11b: TAZ 258 Environmental Features
- Figure 4-12a: TAZ 210 Zoning Districts
- Figure 4-12b: TAZ 210 Environmental Features
- Figure 4-13a: TAZ 286 Zoning Districts
- Figure 4-13b: TAZ 286 Environmental Features
- Figure 4-14a: TAZ 208 Zoning Districts
- Figure 4-14b: TAZ 208 Environmental Features
- Figure 4-15a: TAZ 192 Zoning Districts
- Figure 4-15b: TAZ 192 Environmental Features
- Figure 4-16a: TAZ 189 Zoning Districts
- Figure 4-16b: TAZ 189 Environmental Features
- Figure 4-17a: TAZ 173 Zoning Districts
- Figure 4-17b: TAZ 173 Environmental Features
- Figure 4-18a: TAZ 284 Zoning Districts
- Figure 4-18b: TAZ 284 Environmental Features
- Figure 4-19a: TAZ 257 Zoning Districts
- Figure 4-19b: TAZ 257 Environmental Features
- Figure 4-20a: TAZ 203 Zoning Districts
- Figure 4-20b: TAZ 203 Environmental Features
- Figure 4-21a: TAZ 197 Zoning Districts
- Figure 4-21b: TAZ 197 Environmental Features
- Figure 4-22a: TAZ 171 Zoning Districts
- Figure 4-22b: TAZ 171 Environmental Features
- Figure 4-23a: TAZ 217 Zoning Districts
- Figure 4-23b: TAZ 217 Environmental Features

- Figure 4-24a: TAZ 172 Zoning Districts
- Figure 4-24b: TAZ 172 Environmental Features

Figure 4-25a: TAZ 201 Zoning Districts
Figure 4-25b: TAZ 201 Environmental Features
Figure 4-26a: TAZ 213 Zoning Districts
Figure 4-26b: TAZ 213 Environmental Features
Figure 4-27a: TAZ 254 Zoning Districts
Figure 4-27b: TAZ 254 Environmental Features
Figure 4-28a: TAZ 166 Zoning Districts
Figure 4-28b: TAZ 166 Environmental Features
Figure 4-29a: TAZ 180 Zoning Districts
Figure 4-29b: TAZ 180 Environmental Features
Figure 4-30a: TAZ 255 Zoning Districts
Figure 4-30b: TAZ 255 Environmental Features
Figure 4-31: VT 2A Localized Study Area
Figure 4-32: US 2 Interchange Localized Study Area
Figure 4-33: Redmond Road/Mountain View Road Localized Study Area
Figure 4-34: River Road Localized Study Area
Figure F-1 Town of Cambridge Environmental Features
Figure F-2 Town of Fairfax Environmental Features
Figure F-3 Town of Fletcher Environmental Features
Figure F-4 Town of Morristown Environmental Features
Figure F-5 Town of Stowe Environmental Features
Figure F-6 Town of Berlin Environmental Features
Figure F-7 City of Montpelier Environmental Features

Figure G-1 Alternative 16a Travel Time Contours Compared to No Build Alternative
Figure G-2 Alternative 17 Travel Time Contours Compared to No Build Alternative
Figure G-3 Alternative 23 Travel Time Contours Compared to No Build Alternative

Figure H-1 Absolute Change in Households between Alternative 2 and No Build Alternative
Figure H-2 Absolute Change in Households between Alternative 3 and No Build Alternative
Figure H-3 Absolute Change in Households between Alternative 22 and No Build Alternative
Figure H-4 Absolute Change in Households between Alternative 16a and No Build Alternative
Figure H-5 Absolute Change in Households between Alternative 16b and No Build Alternative
Figure H-6 Absolute Change in Households between Alternative 16c and No Build Alternative
Figure H-7 Absolute Change in Households between Alternative 17 and No Build Alternative
Figure H-8 Absolute Change in Households between Alternative 18 and No Build Alternative
Figure H-9 Absolute Change in Households between Alternative 19 and No Build Alternative
Figure H-10 Absolute Change in Households between Alternative 23 and No Build Alternative

Figure H-11 Absolute Change in Employment between Alternative 2 and No Build Alternative
Figure H-12 Absolute Change in Employment between Alternative 3 and No Build Alternative
Figure H-13 Absolute Change in Employment between Alternative 22 and No Build Alternative
Figure H-14 Absolute Change in Employment between Alternative 16a and No Build Alternative
Figure H-15 Absolute Change in Employment between Alternative 16b and No Build Alternative
Figure H-16 Absolute Change in Employment between Alternative 16c and No Build Alternative
Figure H-17 Absolute Change in Employment between Alternative 17 and No Build Alternative
Figure H-18 Absolute Change in Employment between Alternative 18 and No Build Alternative
Figure H-19 Absolute Change in Employment between Alternative 19 and No Build Alternative
Figure H-20 Absolute Change in Employment between Alternative 23 and No Build Alternative